

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,058	03/24/2004	Leonard Forbes	400.288US01	4217	
27073	7590 09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEFFERT JAY & POLGLAZE, P.A. P.O. BOX 581009			NGUYEN, THINH T		
	IS, MN 55458-1009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2818		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A+A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,058	FORBES, LEONARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thinh T. Nguyen	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	8/11/2205.		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	llowance except for formal mat	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call 11 including the call 11.			(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/929 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	 	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/808,058 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are pending in the Application.

Election/ Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I. Claims 1-19, drawn to memory transistor class 257, subclass 315.
 - Group II. Claim 20, drawn to a memory transistor array classified in class 365 subclass 185.1
 - Group III. Claim 20, drawn to an electronic processor system classified in class 700 subclass 005.
- In the case Applicant elects group I, these are further required to be restricted under35 U.S.C. 121 under species election requirement as the following:The claims are directed to the following patently distinct species of the claimed

invention:

- **Species I. Claims 1-7.** as best as can be understood is described in claim 1 with all the technical features as recited in claim 1
- **Species II. Claims 8-16** as best as can be understood is described in claim 8 with all the technical features as recited in claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,058 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Species III. Claims 17-19 as best as can be understood is described in claim 17 with all the technical features as recited in claim 17.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because electronic processing system can be patentable with a novel processor. The subcombination has separate utility such as: the claimed memory transistor arrays can be used as stand alone memory storage device without processor.
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because memory transitor array can be patentable with a novel row and column arrangement. The memory transistor can be use as a semi-permanent bistable latch for an analog timer circuit.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,058

Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not coexistent. Therefore, separate examinations would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. For species election requirement of Group I, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,058 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

CONCLUSION

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1790. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM 6.00 PM Monday through Friday.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval [PAIR] system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thelyn

Thinh T Nguyen

Art Unit 2818